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#### Abstract

We investigate $N$-extended supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics on a circle with point singularities. For any integer $n, N=2 n+1$ supercharges are explicitly constructed in terms of discrete transformations, and a class of singularities compatible with supersymmetry is clarified. In our formulation, the supersymmetry can be reduced to $M$-extended supersymmetry for any integer $M<N$. The degeneracy of the spectrum and spontaneous supersymmetry breaking are also studied.


PACS number: $11.30 . \mathrm{Pb}$

## 1. Introduction

A point singularity in one-dimensional quantum mechanics may be considered, in general, as a localized limit of a finite range potential and is parametrized by the group $U(2)$ [1-3], and the parameters characterize connection conditions between a wavefunction and its derivative at the singularity. The varieties of the connection conditions lead to various interesting phenomena, such as duality [4, 5], the Berry phase [6, 7], scale anomaly [8] and supersymmetry [9-12].
$N=1$ or $N=2$ supersymmetry in the model of a free particle on a line $\mathbf{R}$ or an interval [ $-l, l$ ] with a point singularity was discussed in [9]. In [11], this work was extended to $N=4$ supersymmetry in the model on a pair of lines or intervals each having a point singularity. In [10], $N=2$ supersymmetric model with a superpotential was constructed on a circle with two point singularities. The supercharges are represented in terms of a set of discrete transformations $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right\}$, which forms an $s u(2)$ algebra of spin 1/2. In [12], this work was extended to $N=2 n$ supersymmetry by putting $2^{n}$ point singularities on a circle, and $N=2 n$ supercharges are explicitly constructed in terms of $n$ sets of discrete transformations $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}, \mathcal{Q}_{n}, \mathcal{R}_{n}\right\}$ on the circle.

We would like to emphasize that the study of supersymmetry in one-dimensional quantum mechanics with point singularities has a physical application to higher dimensional gauge theories. It has been shown that a quantum-mechanical supersymmetry is hidden in any gauge invariant theories with extra dimensions [13-15]. In [14], the hierarchy problem at tree level has been solved in a scenario of gauge theories with compact extra dimensions with boundaries [16]. Then, the hidden quantum-mechanical supersymmetric structure as well as the choice of boundary conditions has been found to be crucial, and the analyses in [10,12] have turned out to give a powerful tool to derive all possible sets of boundary conditions.

In this paper, we study $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry on a circle with $2^{n}$ point singularities, and give full details of the analysis. The $N=2 n+1$ supercharges are explicitly constructed in terms of $n+1$ sets of discrete transformations

$$
\left\{\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}, \mathcal{Q}_{n}, \mathcal{R}_{n}\right\},\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}, \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}, \mathcal{R}_{n+1}\right\}
$$

Since the model contains point singularities, we need to impose appropriate connection conditions there. We succeed in clarifying a possible set of connection conditions compatible with the $N=2 n+1$ supercharges. Thus, the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry can be realized under the connection conditions. In our formulation, we can remove some of the $N=2 n+1$ supercharges from a class of physical observables by relaxing the connection conditions, so that the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry can be reduced to $M$-extended supersymmetry for any integer $M<N$. This implies that for any fixed $M$ we have a wide variety of $M$-extended supersymmetric models. We provide a general discussion about reduction of the supersymmetry and construct $N=2 n$ supersymmetric models as a result of the reduction of the original $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry. We also investigate the degeneracy of the spectrum, in particular, vacuum states with vanishing energy.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we introduce $n+1$ sets of discrete transformations on a circle. In section 3 , we construct $N=2 n+1$ supercharges in terms of these discrete transformations and examine connection conditions compatible with all the $2 n+1$ supercharges. We also investigate the degeneracy of the spectrum. In section 4 , we discuss reduction of the supersymmetry and study some $N=2 n$ supersymmetric models as examples. Section 5 is devoted to a summary.

## 2. Discrete transformations

We consider the model which is one-dimensional quantum mechanics on a circle $S^{1}(-l<$ $x \leqslant l$ ) with $2^{n}$ point singularities placed at

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=l_{s} \equiv\left(1-\frac{s}{2^{n-1}}\right) l \quad \text { for } \quad s=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper deals with the model in which the wavefunction and its derivative are continuous everywhere except for the point singularities. These point singularities are placed at regular intervals on the circle (figure 1). We define discrete transformations on the circle as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \varphi\right)(x)=\sum_{s=1}^{2^{k-1}} \Theta\left(x-\left(1-\frac{s}{2^{k-2}}\right) l\right) \Theta\left(\left(1-\frac{s-1}{2^{k-2}}\right) l-x\right) \varphi\left(-x+\left(2-\frac{2 s-1}{2^{k-1}}\right) l\right), \\
\left(\mathcal{R}_{k} \varphi\right)(x)=  \tag{2.2}\\
\sum_{s=1}^{2^{k-1}}(-1)^{s}\left[-\Theta\left(x-\left(1-\frac{s-1 / 2}{2^{k-2}}\right) l\right) \Theta\left(\left(1-\frac{s-1}{2^{k-2}}\right) l-x\right)\right.  \tag{2.3}\\
\left.\quad+\Theta\left(x-\left(1-\frac{s}{2^{k-2}}\right) l\right) \Theta\left(\left(1-\frac{s-1 / 2}{2^{k-2}}\right) l-x\right)\right] \varphi(x)
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 1. The positions of the singularities on a circle $S^{1}(-l<x \leqslant l)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k} \varphi\right)(x) \equiv-\mathrm{i}\left(\mathcal{R}_{k} \mathcal{P}_{k} \varphi\right)(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n+1$. Here $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function defined by

$$
\Theta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { for } \quad 0<x<l  \tag{2.5}\\
0 & \text { for } & -l<x<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, n+1)$ is a kind of parity transformation. $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is just the familiar parity transformation, $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(x)=\varphi(-x) . \mathcal{R}_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, n+1)$ is a kind of half-reflection transformation. The action of $\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}(k=1,2,3)$ is schematically depicted in figures 2-4. In each figure, the upper figure gives the geometrical meaning of $\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}(k=1,2,3)$. In the lower figures, the dashed line denotes the original function $\varphi(x)$, and the solid line denotes $\left(\mathcal{P}_{k} \varphi\right)(x),\left(\mathcal{R}_{k} \varphi\right)(x)(k=1,2,3)$.
$\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{Q}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ produce no new singularities except for the original ones. Note that $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n+1}$ make the wavefunction and/or its derivative discontinuous at $x \neq l_{s}\left(s=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right)$. For instance, if we consider the case of $n=1$, there are two singularities located at $x=0, l$. As seen in figure 2 , a set of discrete transformations $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right\}$ does not make the wavefunction and its derivative discontinuous at $x \neq 0, l$. We introduce a new set of discrete transformations $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{Q}_{2}, \mathcal{R}_{2}\right\}$. Although $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ produces no new discontinuity for the wavefunction and its derivative at $x \neq 0, l, \mathcal{Q}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2}$, however, produce a discontinuity for the wavefunction and/or its derivative at the different points of the original singularities, $x= \pm \frac{l}{2}$ (see figure 3 ).

A crucial observation is that each set $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{Q}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right\}(k=1,2, \ldots, n+1)$ forms an $\operatorname{su}(2)$ algebra of spin $1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{P}_{k} \mathcal{Q}_{k}=-\mathcal{Q}_{k} \mathcal{P}_{k}=\mathrm{i} \mathcal{R}_{k}, & \mathcal{Q}_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}=-\mathcal{R}_{k} \mathcal{Q}_{k}=\mathrm{i} \mathcal{P}_{k}, \\
\mathcal{R}_{k} \mathcal{P}_{k}=-\mathcal{P}_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}=\mathrm{i} \mathcal{Q}_{k}, & \left(\mathcal{P}_{k}\right)^{2}=\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k}\right)^{2}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{k}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 2. The action of $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}$.




Figure 3. The action of $\mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{R}_{2}$.
and that $\mathcal{O}_{k}=\left\{\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{Q}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{k^{\prime}}=\left\{\mathcal{P}_{k^{\prime}}, \mathcal{Q}_{k^{\prime}}, \mathcal{R}_{k^{\prime}}\right\}$ commute with each other if $k \neq k^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{O}_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{k^{\prime}}\right]=0 \quad \text { for } \quad k \neq k^{\prime} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later use, let us introduce new sets of $\operatorname{su}(2)$ generators $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right\} \quad(k=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ ) as
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}=\mathcal{V}^{\dagger} \mathcal{P}_{k} \mathcal{V}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}=\mathcal{V}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q}_{k} \mathcal{V}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}=\mathcal{V}^{\dagger} \mathcal{R}_{k} \mathcal{V}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}_{1} \mathcal{V}_{2} \cdots \mathcal{V}_{n}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{k}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{k} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}} \in S U(2) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}\left(\vec{v}_{k}\right)$ is an abbreviation of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{Q}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right) \quad\left(\vec{v}_{k}=\left(v_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}, v_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}, v_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right)\right)$, and $\left(v_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}, v_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}, v_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right)$ are real parameters. The new $\operatorname{su}(2)$ generators $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right\}$ have to be




Figure 4. The action of $\mathcal{P}_{3}, \mathcal{R}_{3}$.
linearly related to $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}$ as
$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}=\vec{e}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}=\vec{e}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}=\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n$,
where $\left\{\vec{e}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}}, \vec{e}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}, \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right\}$ are three-dimensional orthogonal unit vectors.

## 3. $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry

## 3.1. $\boldsymbol{N}=2 n+1$ supercharges

Equipped with the discrete transformations given in the previous section, we construct $N=2 n+1$ supercharges in terms of the $n+1$ sets of discrete transformations. There are two types of $N=2 n+1$ supercharges (type A and type B),

- Type A

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}^{A}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Gamma_{a} \mathcal{D}^{A}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{A} \Gamma_{a}, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Type B

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}^{B}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Gamma_{a} \mathcal{D}^{B}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{B} \Gamma_{a}, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{D}^{A}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)+\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} W^{\prime}(x)\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
& \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{A}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} W^{\prime}(x)\right),  \tag{3.4}\\
& \mathcal{D}^{B}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)-\mathcal{P}_{n+1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} V^{\prime}(x)\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{B}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)+\mathcal{P}_{n+1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} V^{\prime}(x)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{2 k-1}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{2}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}} \mathcal{R}_{n+1}, \\
& \Gamma_{2 k}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{2}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}} \mathcal{R}_{n+1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{3.7}\\
& \Gamma_{2 n+1}=\mathcal{Q}_{n+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $W^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} x} W(x), V^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} x} V(x)$ and $W(x), V(x)$ are called superpotentials. We note that $\Gamma_{a}, \mathcal{D}^{A(B)}, \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{A(B)}$ in the supercharges contain $\mathcal{R}_{n+1}, \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$ which produce new singularities except for the original singular point $x=l_{s}\left(s=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right)$. The combinations of these operators in the supercharges, however, make the $\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n+1}$ vanish due to the $s u(2)$ algebra.

The functions $W^{\prime}(x), V^{\prime}(x)$ are continuous and finite valued functions at intervals between singularities and are allowed to have discontinuities at $x=l_{s}\left(s=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right)$. In order to construct the $N=2 n+1$ superalgebra, the required functions turn out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P}_{k} W^{\prime}(x)=-W^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{P}_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{3.8}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{n+1} W^{\prime}(x)=W^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{i} V^{\prime}(x)=-V^{\prime}(x) \mathcal{P}_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n+1 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}, \mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} W^{\prime}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} V^{\prime}(x)$ commute with $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{Q}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right\}(k=1,2, \ldots, n+1)$, we have the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\Gamma_{a}, \Gamma_{b}\right\}=2 \delta_{a b},  \tag{3.11}\\
& \Gamma_{a} \mathcal{D}^{A(B)}=\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{A(B)} \Gamma_{a}, \quad a, b=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that the supercharges form the $N=2 n+1$ superalgebra;

- Type A

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{Q_{a}^{A}, Q_{b}^{A}\right\}=H^{A} \delta_{a b}, \quad a, b=1,2, \ldots 2 n+1,  \tag{3.13}\\
& H^{A}=-\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{A} \mathcal{D}^{A}=\frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} W^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(W^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right], \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

- Type B

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{Q_{a}^{B}, Q_{b}^{B}\right\}=H^{B} \delta_{a b}, \quad a, b=1,2, \cdots 2 n+1 .  \tag{3.15}\\
& H^{B}=-\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{B} \mathcal{D}^{B}=\frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+\mathcal{P}_{n+1} V^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(V^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right], \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H^{A}$ and $H^{B}$ are the Hamiltonians in each model.

### 3.2. Connection conditions compatible with supersymmetry

In this section, we clarify the connection conditions compatible with the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry. Since the model contains the point singularities, we need to impose appropriate connection conditions there, namely, our model is specified not only by the Hamiltonian but also by the connection conditions. The functional space in our model is required to be squared integrable and to be spanned by eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian with connection conditions that make the Hamiltonian Hermitian.

In order to obtain the appropriate connection conditions, let us introduce a $2^{n+1}$ dimensional boundary vector $\Phi_{\varphi}$ that consists of boundary values of a wavefunction $\varphi(x)$ in the vicinity of the singularities, $\varphi\left(l_{s} \pm \epsilon\right)$ for $s=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$ with an infinitesimal positive constant $\epsilon$. For later convenience, we arrange $\varphi\left(l_{s} \pm \epsilon\right)$ in such a way that $\Phi_{\varphi}$ satisfies the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\mathcal{P}_{k} \varphi}=(\overbrace{I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}}^{1} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2}) \Phi_{\varphi},  \tag{3.17}\\
& \Phi_{\mathcal{Q}_{k} \varphi}=\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2}\right) \Phi_{\varphi},  \tag{3.18}\\
& \Phi_{\mathcal{R}_{k} \varphi}=(\underbrace{I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2}}_{n+1}) \Phi_{\varphi}, \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{M}$ denotes an $M \times M$ unit matrix, and $\sigma_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ stands for the Pauli matrices. The boundary vector can be arranged as

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\varphi\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
\varphi\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{n-2} \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\vdots \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{2} \mathcal{P}_{3} \ldots \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{2} \mathcal{P}_{3} \ldots \mathcal{P}_{n} \varphi\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\mathcal{P}_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\text { upper half } \\
\text { components }
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For instance, $\Phi_{\varphi}$ for the case of $n=1$ (two singular points are placed at $x=0, l$ ) is arranged as

$$
\Phi_{\varphi}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi(l-\epsilon)  \tag{3.21}\\
\varphi(0+\epsilon) \\
\varphi(-l+\epsilon) \\
\varphi(0-\epsilon)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi(l-\epsilon) \\
\varphi(0+\epsilon) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(l-\epsilon) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(0+\epsilon)
\end{array}\right),
$$

which obeys the relations (3.17)-(3.19) with $n=1$,
$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(l-\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(0+\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(-l+\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \varphi\right)(0-\epsilon)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi(-l+\epsilon) \\ \varphi(0-\epsilon) \\ \varphi(l-\epsilon) \\ \varphi(0+\epsilon)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I_{2} \\ I_{2} & 0\end{array}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=\left(\sigma_{1} \otimes I_{2}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}$,
$\Phi_{\mathcal{R}_{1} \varphi}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \varphi\right)(l-\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \varphi\right)(0+\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \varphi\right)(-l+\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \varphi\right)(0-\epsilon)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi(l-\epsilon) \\ \varphi(0+\epsilon) \\ -\varphi(-l+\epsilon) \\ -\varphi(0-\epsilon)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{2}\end{array}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=\left(\sigma_{3} \otimes I_{2}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}$,
$\Phi_{\mathcal{Q}_{1} \varphi}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1} \varphi\right)(l-\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{Q}_{1} \varphi\right)(0+\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{Q}_{1} \varphi\right)(-l+\epsilon) \\ \left(\mathcal{Q}_{1} \varphi\right)(0-\epsilon)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}-\mathrm{i} \varphi(-l+\epsilon) \\ -\mathrm{i} \varphi(0-\epsilon) \\ \mathrm{i} \varphi(l-\epsilon) \\ \mathrm{i} \varphi(0+\epsilon)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -\mathrm{i} I_{2} \\ \mathrm{i} I_{2} & 0\end{array}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=\left(\sigma_{2} \otimes I_{2}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}$.
Since our model has singular points, the wavefunction will, in general, be discontinuous there, but the discontinuity has to be controlled by the connection conditions that make the Hamiltonian Hermitian. The Hermiticity condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x \psi^{*}(x)\left(H^{A(B)} \varphi\right)(x)=\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x\left(H^{A(B)} \psi\right)^{*}(x) \varphi(x) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any wavefunctions $\psi(x), \varphi(x)$, where the integral $\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{l_{s}+\epsilon}^{l_{s-1}-\epsilon} \mathrm{d} x \quad \text { with } \quad l_{2^{n}} \equiv l_{0} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the boundary vector, the requirement (3.25) can simply be rewritten as the constraints on the boundary vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\psi}^{\dagger} \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=\Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \psi}^{\dagger} \Phi_{\varphi} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to derive it, we have used the relation (3.19) and the formula of integration by parts
$\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x \xi^{*}(x)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} x} \eta(x)\right)=-\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \xi(x)\right)^{*} \eta(x)+\Phi_{\xi}^{\dagger}\left(\sigma_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \Phi_{\eta}$,
where the functions $\xi(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ are assumed to be continuous everywhere except for the singular points. It is easy to show that equation (3.27) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{\varphi}-\mathrm{i} L_{0} \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}\right|=\left|\Phi_{\varphi}+\mathrm{i} L_{0} \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}\right| \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{0}$ is an arbitrary non-zero constant with the dimension of length. Then, the condition (3.29) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}+\mathrm{i} L_{0}\left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is a $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ unitary matrix. Thus, we have found that the connection conditions which make the Hamiltonian Hermitian are given by equation (3.30). The $2^{n}$ singularities in our model are characterized by a $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ unitary matrix, $U$.

It is important to note that the above connection condition does not necessarily guarantee the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry, because the Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian does not, in general, ensure that of the supercharges. Moreover, the state $\left(Q_{a} \varphi\right)(x)$ does not necessarily belong to the same functional space as $\varphi(x)$. This also means that $\left(Q_{a} \varphi\right)(x)$ does not obey the same connection conditions as $\varphi(x)$. In order for any operator $\mathcal{O}$ to be physical, the state $(\mathcal{O} \varphi)(x)$ for any state $\varphi(x)$ has to obey the same connection conditions as $\varphi(x)$. In the following, we call $\mathcal{O}$ a physical operator if $\mathcal{O}$ is Hermitian and $(\mathcal{O} \varphi)(x)$ satisfies the same connection conditions as $\varphi(x)$.

In the standard argument of supersymmetry, for any energy eigenfunction $\varphi$ with an energy $E, Q_{a} \varphi$ is also an energy eigenfunction with the same energy $E(>0)$ and then $Q_{a} \varphi$ is called a supersymmetric partner of $\varphi$. In our model, this is true if and only if $Q_{a}$ is a physical operator, otherwise the state $Q_{a} \varphi$ should be removed from the functional space, in which any state satisfies the connection conditions (3.30) with a characteristic matrix $U$. Therefore the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry can be realized in our model only when all the $N=2 n+1$ supercharges are physical. We will see below that this requirement severely restricts a class of the connection conditions.

Let $\varphi(x)$ be any wavefunction obeying the connection conditions (3.30), and satisfying Schrödinger equation, $H^{A(B)} \varphi(x)=E \varphi(x)$.

First, we require that $\left(Q_{a}^{A(B)} \varphi\right)(x)(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ obey the same connection conditions as $\varphi(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{Q_{a}^{A(B)} \varphi}+\mathrm{i} L_{0}\left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)}\left(Q_{a}^{A(B)} \varphi\right)}=0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\varphi$ in equation (3.30) has been replaced by $Q_{a}^{A(B)} \varphi$. By noting (3.1), (3.2), (3.12), (3.13)-(3.16) and $H^{A(B)} \varphi(x)=E \varphi(x)$, equation (3.31) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\Gamma_{a} \mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}-2 \mathrm{i} L_{0} E\left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\Gamma_{a} \varphi}=0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connection conditions must be independent of the energy $E$, so that we find the eigenvalues of $U$ must be $\pm 1$, i.e. $U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}$. Then, (3.32) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\Gamma_{a} \mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=0  \tag{3.33}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\Gamma_{a} \varphi}=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the case of $U= \pm I_{2^{n+1}}$ turns out to lead to no non-trivial models because all wavefunctions would vanish. It is convenient to introduce $\gamma_{a}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ as $^{4}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{2 k-1}=\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right),  \tag{3.35}\\
& \gamma_{2 k}=\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right),  \tag{3.36}\\
& \gamma_{2 n+1}=\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$. The $\gamma_{a}$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1$ satisfy the same anticommutation relations as $\Gamma_{a}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\gamma_{a}, \gamma_{b}\right\}=2 \delta_{a b} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these, we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\Gamma_{a} \varphi}=\gamma_{a} \Phi_{\varphi} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{4}$ The definition of $\gamma_{a}$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n$ is slightly different from those in [12].
and then, equations (3.33), (3.34) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-\gamma_{a} U \gamma_{a}\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=0,  \tag{3.40}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+\gamma_{a} U \gamma_{a}\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0 . \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.30) is reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0,  \tag{3.42}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=0, \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

under the condition of $U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}$. Since our model contains the $2^{n}$ point singularities, we need to impose $2 \times 2^{n}$ connection conditions at the singularities to solve the Schrödinger equation. If the number of conditions is larger than $2 \times 2^{n}$, the model would become trivial due to overconstraints. Since the number of conditions (3.30), which make the Hamiltonian Hermitian, is $2 \times 2^{n}$, equations (3.40) and (3.41) should produce no new constraints. This implies that the characteristic matrix $U$ has to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{a} U \gamma_{a}=-U, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have found the constraints on the characteristic matrix $U$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{\dagger} U=I_{2^{n+1}},  \tag{3.45}\\
& U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{3.46}\\
& \gamma_{a} U \gamma_{a}=-U, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

which guarantee that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian and $\left(Q_{a}^{A(B)} \varphi\right)(x)(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ satisfy the same connection conditions as $\varphi(x)$.

Before we discuss the Hermiticity of the supercharges, let us determine the form of the characteristic matrix $U$. An arbitrary $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ matrix can be uniquely expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha_{1}=0}^{3} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{n+1}=0}^{3} C_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}}\left(\tilde{e}_{\alpha_{1}}^{(1)} \otimes \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{2}}^{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{n}}^{(n)} \otimes \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{n+1}}^{(n+1)}\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{e}_{\alpha_{k}=0}^{(k)} \equiv I_{2}, & \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{k}=1}^{(k)} \equiv \vec{e}_{\mathcal{P}_{k}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \\
\tilde{e}_{\alpha_{k}=2}^{(k)} \equiv \vec{e}_{\mathcal{Q}_{k}} \cdot \vec{\sigma}, & \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{k}=3}^{(k)} \equiv \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \cdot \vec{\sigma}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n, \tag{3.49}
\end{array}
$$

and
$\tilde{e}_{\alpha_{n+1}=0}^{(n+1)} \equiv I_{2}, \quad \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{n+1}=1}^{(n+1)} \equiv \sigma_{1}, \quad \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{n+1}=2}^{(n+1)} \equiv \sigma_{2}, \quad \tilde{e}_{\alpha_{n+1}=3}^{(n+1)} \equiv \sigma_{3}$.
First, we impose the conditions (3.47) on the characteristic matrix $U$. Then, some coefficients in the expansion vanish except for $C_{\alpha_{1}=0, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=0, \alpha_{n+1}=1}, C_{\alpha_{1}=3, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=3, \alpha_{n+1}=3}$. Thus, the characteristic matrix $U$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=b_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+b_{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right), \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=0, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=0, \alpha_{n+1}=1}, \quad b_{2} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=3, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=3, \alpha_{n+1}=3} . \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions $U^{\dagger} U=I_{2^{n+1}}$ and $U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}$ imply $U^{\dagger}=U$, so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbf{R}, \quad\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the connection conditions can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{3.54}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=0 \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=b_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+b_{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}=1$.
Now, an important question that remains to be answered is whether the supercharges are Hermitian under the connection conditions (3.54) and (3.55) or not. Using (3.28), we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x \psi^{*}(x)\left(Q_{a} \varphi\right)(x)=\int_{-l}^{l} \mathrm{~d} x\left(Q_{a} \psi\right)^{*}(x) \varphi(x)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Phi_{\psi}^{\dagger} \gamma_{a} \Phi_{\varphi}, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 . \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to show that the surface term in (3.57) vanishes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\psi}^{\dagger} \gamma_{a} \Phi_{\varphi}=0, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

if wavefunctions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ obey the connection conditions (3.54) and (3.55). Thus, the connection conditions (3.54) and (3.55) also make all the supercharges Hermitian.

Thus, we have found that the connection conditions, which make not only the Hamiltonian Hermitian but also the $2 n+1$ supercharges physical, are given by equations (3.54), (3.55). Then, the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry can be realized with the connection conditions (3.54), (3.55).

### 3.3. The degeneracy of the spectrum

In this section, we study the degeneracy of the spectrum in the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetric models, in particular, vacuum states with vanishing energy. We consider the two types of the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetric models (type A and type B), separately.
3.3.1. Type A model. We first consider the degeneracy in the type A supersymmetric model. The connection conditions compatible with the $N=2 n+1$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{3.59}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A} \varphi}=0 \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=b_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+b_{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}=1$. We note that the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ commute with the Hamiltonian $H^{A}$ and also with each other. Since they are also physical under the connection conditions (3.59) and (3.60), we can introduce simultaneous eigenfunctions of $H^{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x),  \tag{3.62}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \tag{3.63}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5. Examples of the transition for the eigenfunction $\varphi_{E_{1} ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}$ under the action of the supercharges $\left(Q_{1}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n+1}^{A}\right)$.
with $\lambda_{k}=1$ or -1 for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Since $Q_{a}^{A}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$ ( $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ ) satisfy the relations

$$
Q_{a}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}= \begin{cases}-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{a}^{A} & \text { for } a=2 k-1 \text { or } 2 k,  \tag{3.64}\\ +\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{a}^{A} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

the states $Q_{2 k-1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$ and $Q_{2 k}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$ should be proportional to $\varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$, and the states $Q_{2 n+1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$ should be proportional to $\varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$. Noting that $Q_{2 k-1}^{A}=-\mathrm{i} Q_{2 k}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$, we have the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2 k-1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} Q_{2 k}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x),  \tag{3.65}\\
& Q_{2 n+1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x), \tag{3.66}
\end{align*}
$$

when $E>0$. These imply that the degeneracy of the spectrum for $E>0$ is given by $2^{n}$. Some examples of the transition for the eigenfunction $\varphi_{E_{1} ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}$ under the action of the supercharges are depicted in figure 5. This degeneracy can also be understood from an algebraic point of view; for fixed non-zero energy $E, Q_{a}^{A} / \sqrt{E}$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1$ form the Clifford algebra in $(2 n+1)$-dimensions, and the representation is known as $2^{n}$.

The above argument cannot apply for the case of $E=0$. This is because any state $\varphi_{0}(x)$ with vanishing energy must satisfy $Q_{a}^{A} \varphi_{0}(x)=0$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1$. The equations $Q_{a}^{A} \varphi_{0}(x)=0$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{A} \varphi_{0}(x)=0 \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation is easily solved, and we have formal solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n} W(x)} \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}$ denotes normalization constants. By noting the Hamiltonian $H^{A}$ includes only $W^{\prime}(x)$ (but not $W(x)$ itself), we have an ambiguity to choose $W(x)$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P}_{k} W(x) \mathcal{P}_{k}=W(x), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{3.69}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{n+1} W(x) \mathcal{P}_{n+1}=-W(x) \tag{3.70}
\end{align*}
$$

For a non-compact space, any non-normalizable states would be removed from the functional space. The space is, however, compact in our model, so that these solutions are always
normalizable. Nevertheless, some of them must be removed from the functional space. This is because some solutions are incompatible with the connection conditions. Although the zero energy states trivially satisfy half of the connection conditions (3.60), we need to verify whether the states (3.68) satisfy the remaining connection condition (3.59) or not.

In order to investigate the consistency of the zero energy states with the connection conditions, we use the transformation (2.8), (2.9). This transformation yields
$\tilde{U}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \equiv V U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) V^{\dagger}=b_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+b_{2}\left(\sigma_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{3}\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \equiv\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} \otimes I_{2}\right), \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}} \in S U(2) \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation is a singular unitary transformation because it, in general, changes connection conditions of wavefunctions at singular points. The transformation may be regarded as a duality connecting different theories (with different connection conditions). Moreover, the transformation gives us the convenient expression for the connection condition.

For instance, we consider the model which possesses two point singularities placed at $x=0, l(n=1)$ as an example. The connection condition (3.59) is transformed by (3.72) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{4}-\tilde{U}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\tilde{\varphi}}=0 \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\tilde{U}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=b_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+b_{2}\left(\sigma_{3} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right), \quad \tilde{\varphi}=\mathcal{V}_{1} \varphi, \quad \mathcal{V}_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{1} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{1}}$.
Explicitly, equation (3.73) is written as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-b_{2} & -b_{1} & 0 & 0  \tag{3.75}\\
-b_{1} & 1+b_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1+b_{2} & -b_{1} \\
0 & 0 & -b_{1} & 1-b_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\varphi}(l-\epsilon) \\
\tilde{\varphi}(0+\epsilon) \\
\tilde{\varphi}(-l+\epsilon) \\
\tilde{\varphi}(-0-\epsilon)
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

We observe that the above connection condition is separated into two independent conditions, depending on the argument of the wavefunction. This suggests that it is useful to assign the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the operator $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ for the wavefunction. To this end, we define the projection operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{1} \mathcal{R}_{1}\right) \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

By multiplying $\left(1+\lambda_{1} \mathcal{R}_{1}\right) / 2$ from the left to equation (3.73), we obtain the boundary vector as

$$
\Phi_{\left(\frac{1+\lambda_{1} R_{1}}{2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(l-\epsilon)=0 \\
\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(0+\epsilon)=0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.77}\\
0 \\
\left(\begin{array}{c} 
\\
0 \\
\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(-l+\epsilon)=0 \\
\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(0-\epsilon)=0
\end{array}\right)
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda_{1}=+1,\right.
$$

Then, the connection conditions (3.73) are reduced to

- for $b_{2}=1\left(\right.$ and $\left.b_{1}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(0+\epsilon)=0,  \tag{3.78}\\
& \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(-l+\epsilon)=0, \tag{3.79}
\end{align*}
$$

- for $b_{2} \neq 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-b_{2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(l-\epsilon)-b_{1} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(0+\epsilon)=0,  \tag{3.80}\\
& \left(1-b_{2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(0-\epsilon)-b_{1} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(-l+\epsilon)=0 . \tag{3.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us also note that the Schrödinger equations can be written separately in each region of $(0<x<l)$ and $(-l<x<0)$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(x)=E \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=+1}(x) & (0<x<l) & \text { with (3.78) or }(3.80), \\
\tilde{H} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(x)=E \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}=-1}(x) & (-l<x<0) & \text { with }(3.79) \text { or }(3.81), \tag{3.83}
\end{array}
$$

where $\tilde{H} \equiv \mathcal{V} H \mathcal{V}^{\dagger}$.
Similarly, we can generalize the above argument to the case of $n$. The connection conditions (3.59) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{2^{n+1}}-\tilde{U}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} R_{k} R_{k}}{2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}}=0 \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi} \equiv \mathcal{V} \varphi \quad \text { with } \quad \mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}_{1} \mathcal{V}_{2} \cdots \mathcal{V}_{n}, \mathcal{V}_{i}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{v}_{i} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}_{i}} \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

On this basis, the boundary vector can simply be written as

$$
\Phi_{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}}{2}\right) \tilde{\varphi}}=\Phi_{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{3.86}\\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \ldots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \ldots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right) \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The connection conditions (3.84) are reduced to

- for $b_{2}=1\left(\right.$ and $\left.b_{1}=0\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)=0 & \text { for } \\
\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=-1,  \tag{3.88}\\
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 & \text { for }
\end{array} \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=+1, ~ l
$$

- for $b_{2} \neq 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-b_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)-b_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 \\
& \quad \text { for } \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=+1  \tag{3.89}\\
& \left(1-b_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)-b_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)=0 \\
& \text { for } \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=-1 \tag{3.90}
\end{align*}
$$

The Schrödinger equations can be separated into $2^{n}$ regions of $\left(l_{s}<x<l_{s+1}\right)$ ( $s=$ $0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$ ).

Now, we are ready to discuss whether the zero energy states satisfy the connection conditions or not. With the transformations (3.85), the zero energy states (3.68) become
$\tilde{\varphi}_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \equiv \mathcal{V} \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n} W(x)}$.
The states (3.91) do not satisfy the connection conditions (3.87), (3.88) for $b_{2}=1$. Although for general $W(x)$, the connection conditions (3.89), (3.90) are not satisfied by the zero energy states for $b_{2} \neq 1$, there is, however, an exceptional case, where $W(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{1-b_{1}}{1+b_{1}}}=\mathrm{e}^{2 W\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)} \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this special $W(x)$, all the states (3.91) become supersymmetric vacuum states compatible with the connection conditions.

There is no supersymmetric vacuum state for general $W(x)$. Therefore, the supersymmetry is 'spontaneously' ${ }^{5}$ broken. While, for the special $W(x)$ satisfying (3.92), we have the supersymmetric vacuum states, and the degeneracy is $2^{n}$.

Let us note that the $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ becomes physical when $b_{2}=0$ and commutes with the Hamiltonian $H^{A}$ only for $W^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$. We can introduce the simultaneous eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian $H^{A}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x),  \tag{3.93}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x),  \tag{3.94}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=\lambda_{n+1} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x) . \tag{3.95}
\end{align*}
$$

The new label $\lambda_{n+1}$ on the wavefunction suggests the enhancement of the degeneracy for the state with $E>0$. In order to confirm it, let us define new operator $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}_{a}^{A} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \Gamma_{a}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right) . \tag{3.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Hamiltonian $H^{A}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{A}=2\left(Q_{a}^{A}\right)^{2}=2\left(\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2} \tag{3.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{2} \equiv\left(W^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}$ is independent of $x$ for $W^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$. The energy is bounded from below as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \geqslant \frac{1}{2} c^{2} \tag{3.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can show that $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ is physical under the connection conditions (3.59) and (3.60). Since $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n), \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \hat{Q}_{a}^{A}=-\hat{Q}_{a}^{A} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}  \tag{3.99}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \hat{Q}_{a}^{A}= \begin{cases}-\hat{Q}_{a}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { for } \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 . \\
+\hat{Q}_{a}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{3.100}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]and $\hat{Q}_{2 k-1}^{A}=-\mathrm{i} \hat{Q}_{2 k}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$, we have the relations
$\hat{Q}_{2 k-1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}=-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} \hat{Q}_{2 k}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}} \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{n},-\lambda_{n+1}}$,
$\hat{Q}_{2 n+1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}} \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n},-\lambda_{n+1}}$.
Thus, in this case, the degeneracy for $E>\frac{1}{2} c^{2}$ is given by $2^{n+1}$. This result can also be obtained from an algebraic point of view; $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A} / \sqrt{E}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ form the Clifford algebra in $(2 n+2)$-dimensions and the representation is known as $2^{n+1}$.

Let us discuss the case of $E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2}$. The state with $E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2}$ satisfies $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A} \varphi_{E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2}}(x)=0$ $(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$, which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \tilde{\varphi}_{E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=0, \tag{3.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have performed the singular transformation (3.85). The solution satisfying (3.103) always has only $\lambda_{n+1}=1$ state because the state with $\lambda_{n+1}=-1$ has discontinuity except for the original singularities. The formal solutions for the state with $E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2} ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}=+1}=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}=+1}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}\right)\right] . \tag{3.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that the solution (3.104) shows that it takes constant values between the two neighbouring singularities.

Let us study the compatibility of the solutions (3.104) with the connection conditions (3.87)-(3.90). For $b_{1}=+1$, all the states with $E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2}$ satisfy the connection conditions (3.89) and (3.90), so that the degeneracy is $2^{n}$, while for $b_{1}=-1$, not all the states satisfy the connection conditions (3.89), (3.90) and are removed from the functional space.

We can introduce the 'fermion' number operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{F} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \tag{3.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $b_{2}=0$ and $W^{\prime}(x)=0(c=0)$. We note that $W^{\prime}(x)=0$ ensures $^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{a}^{A},(-1)^{F}\right\}=0 \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call states with $(-1)^{F}=+1(-1)$ 'bosonic' ('fermionic') ones. Under the relation (3.106), $Q_{a}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$ have the opposite eigenvalues of $(-1)^{F}$ as $\varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)$.

We discuss the Witten index in this model. The Witten index of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ with $\mathcal{O}^{2}=1$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{O}} \equiv N_{+}^{E=0}-N_{-}^{E=0}, \tag{3.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{ \pm}^{E=0}$ denotes the number of the zero energy states with $\mathcal{O}= \pm 1$, respectively and all the non-zero energy states between $\mathcal{O}=1$ and $\mathcal{O}=-1$ are degenerate.

The Witten index of $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ for $W^{\prime}(x)=0(c=0)^{7}$ and $b_{2}=0$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n} \tag{3.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $W^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$, the zero energy states do not exist. However, by shifting the energy, $E \rightarrow \hat{E}=E-\frac{1}{2} c^{2}$, there is a non-zero Witten index for $\hat{E}=0\left(E=\frac{1}{2} c^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=N_{+}^{\hat{E}=0}-N_{-}^{\hat{E}=0}=2^{n} \tag{3.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

We should make a comment on the special case (3.92) in which the zero energy states exist. In this case, the Witten index of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$ vanishes because $N_{+}^{E=0}$ and $N_{-}^{E=0}$ are the same,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}=+1}^{E=0}=N_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}=-1}^{E=0}=2^{n-1} \tag{3.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{6}$ Let us note that when $W^{\prime \prime}(x)=0, \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ anticommutes with $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}$. If we regard $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}$ as a supercharge, $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ can be taken as the 'fermion' number operator.
${ }^{7}$ In this case, $Q_{a}^{A}$ is the same as $\hat{Q}_{a}^{A}$.
3.3.2. Type B model. The connection conditions compatible with the $N=2 n+1$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n+1}^{B}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{3.111}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{B} \varphi}=0 \tag{3.112}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$U\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=b_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+b_{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right)$
with $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\left(b_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(b_{2}\right)^{2}=1$. We first note that the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ commute with $H^{B}$ and also with each other. Since they are also physical under the connection conditions (3.111), (3.112), we can introduce simultaneous eigenfunctions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x),  \tag{3.114}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \tag{3.115}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\lambda_{k}=1$ or $\lambda_{k}=-1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. The supercharges $Q_{a}^{B}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ satisfy the relations

$$
Q_{a}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}= \begin{cases}-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{a}^{B} & \text { for } a=2 k-1,2 k  \tag{3.116}\\ +\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{a}^{B} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Noting that $Q_{2 k-1}^{B}=-\mathrm{i} Q_{2 k}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$, we have the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2 k-1}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} Q_{2 k}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x),  \tag{3.117}\\
& Q_{2 n+1}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \tag{3.118}
\end{align*}
$$

then the degeneracy of the spectrum is given by $2^{n}$ for $E>0$. This result can also be obtained from an algebraic point of view, that is, for fixed non-zero energy $E, Q_{a}^{B} / \sqrt{E}$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1$ form the Clifford algebra in $(2 n+1)$-dimensions, and the representation is known as $2^{n}$.

The above argument cannot apply for $E=0$ states because $Q_{a}^{B} \varphi_{0}=0$. The equations $Q_{a}^{B} \varphi_{0}(x)=0$ are easily solved and we have formal solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \tag{3.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}$ denotes normalization constants. Since the Hamiltonian $H^{B}$ includes only $V^{\prime}(x)$ (but not $V(x)$ itself), we have an ambiguity to choose $V(x)$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{i} V(x) \mathcal{P}_{i}=V(x), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n+1 \tag{3.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the singular unitary transformation (3.85), the zero energy states become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \equiv \mathcal{V} \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \tag{3.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero energy states trivially satisfy the half of the connection conditions (3.112). Let us discuss the remaining connection conditions (3.111), which are given by equations (3.87)(3.90).

The states (3.121) do not satisfy the connection conditions (3.87), (3.88). On the other hand, neither are the connection conditions (3.89), (3.90) satisfied by the zero energy states. There is, however, an exceptional case

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=1 . \tag{3.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because $V\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)=V\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)$.
$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ commutes with $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n), H^{B}$ and becomes physical only for $b_{2}=0$. An accidental degeneracy occurs in this case. We can introduce simultaneous eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian $H^{B}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x),  \tag{3.123}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x),  \tag{3.124}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=\lambda_{n+1} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}}(x) . \tag{3.125}
\end{align*}
$$

The $Q_{a}^{B}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}^{B} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}=-\mathcal{P}_{n+1} Q_{a}^{B}, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1 \tag{3.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.116), (3.117) and (3.126) imply that the degeneracy of the spectrum for $E>0$ is given by $2^{n+1}$.

The zero energy formal solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}=+1}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \tag{3.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}=-1}(x)$ do not exist because the states cannot construct without new singularities except for the original singular points $x=l_{s}\left(s=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right)$. For $b_{1}=1$, all the zero energy states (3.127) become supersymmetric vacuum states compatible with the connection conditions (3.89), (3.90), while, for $b_{1}=-1$, all the states are incompatible with the connection conditions (3.89), (3.90).
$\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ can be regarded as the 'fermion' number operator only if $b_{2}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{F}=\mathcal{P}_{n+1} . \tag{3.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{a}^{B},(-1)^{F}\right\}=0 . \tag{3.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a non-zero Witten index of $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ only for $b_{1}=1$ and $b_{2}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n} \tag{3.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Reduction of the supersymmetry

### 4.1. General discussion

In this section, we provide a general discussion on a reduction of the supersymmetry by relaxing the connection conditions ${ }^{8}$. So far, we have considered the connection conditions compatible with all the $2 n+1$ supercharges. Here we require that only a subset of the supercharges are physical. To put it the other way around, we allow some of the $2 n+1$ supercharges to become incompatible with connection conditions.

8 Falomir and Pisani have also discussed the reduction of supersymmetry in the presence of singular superpotentials [19].

Let us consider the $m$ supercharges that are a subset of the $2 n+1$ supercharges (3.1) (3.2). The connection conditions compatible with the $m$ supercharges are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A(B)} \varphi}=0, \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constraints on the characteristic matrix $U$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{\dagger} U=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{4.3}\\
& U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \gamma_{i} U \gamma_{i}=-U . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the number of $\gamma_{i}$ is $m$, which is a subset of the $\gamma_{a}(a=1,2, \ldots, 2 n+1)$. The difference from the case of $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry is in equation (4.5), that is, the number of $\gamma_{i}$ is smaller than that of the case of $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry. The smaller the number of $\gamma_{i}$ in equation (4.5), the larger the number of parameters of the characteristic matrix $U$. This implies that the supercharges not belonging to the subset are not physical operators.

## 4.2. $N=2 n$ supersymmetry

In this section, we consider some $N=2 n$ supersymmetric models as examples of the reduced supersymmetry. We choose $2 n$ supercharges that are a subset of the $2 n+1$ supercharges (3.1), (3.2) as
(a) $Q_{1}^{A}, Q_{2}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{A}$,
(b) $Q_{1}^{A}, Q_{2}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{A}, Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$,
(c) $Q_{1}^{B}, Q_{2}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{B}$,
(d) $Q_{1}^{B}, Q_{2}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{B}, Q_{2 n+1}^{B}$.

We will obtain the connection conditions compatible with the constraints (4.3)-(4.5) and study the degeneracy of the spectrum and the zero energy states in each model.
4.2.1. (a) $Q_{1}^{A}, Q_{2}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{A}$. In order for the supercharges $Q_{1}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{A}$ to satisfy the superalgebra, we need only equation (3.8). And the Hamiltonian is also given by equation (3.14). The $N=2 n$ supersymmetry has already been found and discussed in [12]. The results of the connection conditions and the degeneracy of the spectrum in this subsection agree with those in [12] although the analysis has been incomplete in [12].

The connection conditions compatible with the $2 n$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{A}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{4.6}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A} \varphi}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{4.8}\\
& U^{\dagger} U=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \gamma_{i} U \gamma_{i}=-U, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to obtain the expression of the characteristic matrix $U$, we use the expansion (3.48)(3.50). Equation (4.10) implies that the non-vanishing coefficients are only four,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2}=0, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=0, \alpha_{n+1}=1}, & a_{2} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2}=0, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=0, \alpha_{n+1}=2}, \\
a_{3} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=3, \alpha_{2}=3, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=3, \alpha_{n+1}=3}, & a_{4} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=3, \alpha_{2}=3, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=3, \alpha_{n+1}=0 .} \tag{4.12}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the characteristic matrix $U$ can be expanded as
$U=a_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}\right)+a_{3}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+a_{4}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_{2}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that the number of parameters in the characteristic matrix $U$ is larger than that in the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetric model because of the decrease of the conditions on the $U$. We further restrict the form of $U$ by imposing the conditions (4.8) and (4.9). Then, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{4}\right)^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad a_{4}=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus, the characteristic matrix $U$ compatible with the $2 n$ supersymmetry is given by
(I) Type I

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)= \pm\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes I_{2}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) Type II

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)=a_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2}\right) \\
+a_{3}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}=1$.
Let us next study the degeneracy of the spectrum. We note that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ commutes with the Hamiltonian $H^{A}$ and is physical under the connection conditions (4.16), (4.17), so that the state with $E(>0)$ is labelled by the quantum number $\lambda_{k}$, which is the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$. Noting that $Q_{2 k-1}^{A}=-\mathrm{i} Q_{2 k}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$ and the relations

$$
Q_{i}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}= \begin{cases}-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{i}^{A} & \text { for } \quad i=2 k-1 \text { or } 2 k,  \tag{4.18}\\ +\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{i}^{A} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, we have the relations (3.65) for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. Thus, the degeneracy for $E>0$ is given by $2^{n}$. This result can also be obtained from an algebraic point of view; for fixed non-zero energy $E, Q_{i}^{A} / \sqrt{E}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n$ form the Clifford algebra in $2 n$-dimensions, and the representation is known as $2^{n}$.

Let us study the zero energy states. The states, which satisfy equation (3.67), are formally given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n} W(x)} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{k}=1$ or -1 for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. The zero energy states trivially satisfy half of the connection conditions (4.7). We need to verify whether the zero energy states (4.19) satisfy the remaining connection conditions (4.6) or not. In order to investigate the consistency of the zero energy states with the connection conditions, let us perform the singular transformation (3.85), under which the zero energy states become
$\tilde{\varphi}_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \equiv \mathcal{V} \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n} W(x)}$.

According to the singular transformation, the connection conditions (4.6) can be written, depending on the type I, II, as
(I) Type I with $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)=0  \tag{4.21}\\
& \left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=\mp 1 \tag{4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double sign of $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}$ corresponds to the double sign in the $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$
(II) Type II with $U_{\text {II }}(a)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a_{3} \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=+1  \tag{4.23}\\
& \left(1-a_{3} \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}\right)\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right) \\
& \quad-\left(a_{1}-\mathrm{i} a_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

otherwise.

Let us first discuss the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}(+)$. We note that the connection condition (4.6) does not yield non-trivial conditions for the state with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=+1$, while the other connection condition (4.7) is automatically satisfied due to (3.67). On the other hand, we must check whether the state with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=-1$ satisfies the connection conditions (4.21), (4.22) or not, but it is obviously impossible due to the exponential factor in the solutions (4.20). Hence, the degeneracy is given by $2^{n-1}$. The discussion goes the same as above for the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}(-)$, so that the degeneracy is, again, $2^{n-1}$. Thus, the supersymmetry is unbroken. We have seen that once we determine the connection condition $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$, the states with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}= \pm 1$ can satisfy the connection conditions.

For the type II connection conditions, the zero energy states (4.20) are found to be inconsistent with the connection conditions (4.23), (4.24), so that there are no vacuum states with zero energy. There is, however, an exception. If the following relations are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{1-a_{3}}{1+a_{3}}}=\mathrm{e}^{W\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)-W\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)}, \quad a_{1}=\sqrt{1-\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}}, \quad a_{2}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

all the states (4.20) accidentally become supersymmetric vacuum states compatible with the connection conditions (4.24); hence, the degeneracy is $2^{n}$. Therefore, for the type II connection conditions, supersymmetry is spontaneously broken except for the above case.

If $a_{2}=0$, the supercharge $Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$ becomes physical. Then, $Q_{1}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$ form the $N=2 n+1$ superalgebra if we require the conditions (3.9). Therefore, the supersymmetry is enhanced to the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry, and the degeneracy has been studied in the previous section.

We can introduce the 'fermion' number operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{F} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is physical under the connection conditions (4.6) and (4.7), and commutes (anticommutes) with the Hamiltonian $H^{A}$ (all the supercharges $Q_{i}^{A}(i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n)$ ). Let us note that eigenvalues of the fermion number operator are $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}= \pm 1$. The Witten index
of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$ with $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}= \pm 2^{n-1} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the double sign corresponds to the double sign in $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$.
If $W^{\prime}(x)=0, \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ is physical under the connection condition (4.16). And it (anti)commutes with the (supercharges) $H^{A}$. Then, we can introduce the Witten index of $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the type II connection conditions with $U_{\text {II }}(a)$, the Witten index of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$ vanishes because there are no zero energy states. We should make a comment on the special case (4.25), in which the zero energy states exist. The Witten index of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$ is, however, zero because $N_{+}^{E=0}$ and $N_{-}^{E=0}$ are the same,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}=+1}^{E=0}=N_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}=-1}^{E=0}=2^{n-1} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2.2. (b) $Q_{1}^{A}, Q_{2}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{A}, Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$. The $N=2 n$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{A}, Q_{2}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{A}$, $Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$ form the $N=2 n$ superalgebra if the function $W^{\prime}(x)$ obeys (3.8) and (3.9). The constraints on the characteristic matrix $U$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{2}=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{4.30}\\
& U^{\dagger} U=I_{2^{n+1}}  \tag{4.31}\\
& \gamma_{i} U \gamma_{i}=-U, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-1,2 n+1 . \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

We expand $U$ in the expressions (3.48)-(3.50). Because of the conditions (4.32), there are only four non-zero coefficients,
$a_{1} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2}=0, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=0, \alpha_{n+1}=1}, \quad a_{2} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=3, \alpha_{2}=3, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}=3, \alpha_{n}=2, \alpha_{n+1}=3}$,
$a_{3} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=3, \alpha_{2}=3, \ldots, \alpha_{n}=3, \alpha_{n+1}=3}, \quad a_{4} \equiv C_{\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2}=0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}=0, \alpha_{n}=1, \alpha_{n+1}=1}$.
The conditions (4.30) and (4.31) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{4}\right)^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0 \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad a_{4}=0 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus, the characteristic matrix $U$ compatible with the $2 n$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{A}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{A}, Q_{2 n+1}^{A}$ is
(I) Type I

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)= \pm\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(II) Type II

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)=a_{1}\left(I_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1}\right)+a_{2}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{Q}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \\
+a_{3}\left(\vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \cdots \otimes \vec{e}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \otimes \sigma_{3}\right) \tag{4.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}=1$. With the above characteristic matrix $U$, the connection conditions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{4.38}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{A} \varphi}=0 \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$ is no longer physical under the connection conditions (4.36), (4.37), but instead, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ is physical. The Hamiltonian $H^{A}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ are physical, and they commute with each other. We can introduce simultaneous eigenfunctions of these operators such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x),  \tag{4.40}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{4.41}\\
& \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right) \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\lambda_{k}=1$ or -1 for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=1$ or -1 . In this case, we have the relations
$Q_{2 k-1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} Q_{2 k}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$,
$Q_{2 n-1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)$,
$Q_{2 n+1}^{A} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)$,
because

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{i}^{A}= \begin{cases}-Q_{i}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { for } k=2 i-1,2 i, \\
+Q_{i}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{R_{k}} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}  \tag{4.46}\\
& \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right) Q_{i}^{A}=-Q_{i}^{A}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right) \tag{4.47}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-1,2 n+1$ and $Q_{2 k-1}^{A}=-i Q_{2 k}^{A} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Thus, the degeneracy for $E>0$ is given by $2^{n}$. This result can also be obtained from an algebraic point of view; for fixed non-zero energy $E, Q_{i}^{A} / \sqrt{E}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-1,2 n+1$ form the Clifford algebra in $2 n$-dimensions, and the representation is known as $2^{n}$.

The above argument cannot apply for the zero energy states. The zero energy states are given by solving
$\mathcal{D}^{A} \varphi_{0}(x)=\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)+\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} W^{\prime}(x)\right)\right] \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=0$.

The solutions to equation (4.48) are formally given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)= & N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}}{2}\right) \\
& \times\left\{\left(\frac{1+\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1} W(x)}+\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n-1} W(x)}\right\}, \tag{4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

and trivially satisfy half of the connection conditions (4.39). We need to verify whether the zero energy states satisfy the remaining connection conditions (4.38) or not. Under the singular unitary transformation (3.85), the zero energy states become

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \equiv & \mathcal{V} \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \\
= & N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}}{2}\right) \\
& \times\left\{\left(\frac{1+\mathcal{R}_{n}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1} W(x)}+\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-\mathcal{R}_{n}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1} W(x)}\right\}, \tag{4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

and accordingly the connection conditions, (4.38), can be written as
(I) Type I with $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n-1}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)=0,  \tag{4.51}\\
& \left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n-1}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda_{n}^{\prime}=\mp 1, \tag{4.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double sign of $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ corresponds to the double sign in $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$,
(II) Type II with $U_{\text {II }}(a)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n-1}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a_{3} \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1}=+1,  \tag{4.53}\\
\left(1-a_{3} \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n-1}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\right)\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)-\left(a_{1}-\mathrm{i} a_{2} \lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right) \\
\times\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{1}}{2}} \cdots \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{\frac{1-\lambda_{n-1}}{2}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\left(l_{1}+\epsilon\right)=0 \quad \text { otherwise. } \tag{4.54}
\end{gather*}
$$

We first consider the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}(+)\left(U_{\mathrm{I}}(-)\right)$. The zero energy states with $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=+1$ ( $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=-1$ ) can satisfy the connection conditions (4.38), (4.39) because the connection conditions (4.38) do not yield non-trivial conditions for the states with $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=+1\left(\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=-1\right)$, and the connection conditions (4.39) are automatically satisfied due to (4.48). On the other hand, the states with $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=-1\left(\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=+1\right)$ do not satisfy the connection conditions (4.51), (4.52) because of the exponential factor in the solution. Thus, the degeneracy of the zero energy states for the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ is given by $2^{n-1}$, and the supersymmetry is unbroken.

For the case of $U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)$, the zero energy states do not satisfy the connection conditions (4.53), (4.54) with one exception. If the following relations are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{1-a_{3}}{1+a_{3}}}=\mathrm{e}^{2 W\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)}, \quad a_{1}=\sqrt{1-\left(a_{3}\right)^{2}}, \quad a_{2}=0 \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

all the states (4.50) are compatible with the connection conditions (4.54), then, the degeneracy is $2^{n}$. Hence, for the type II connection conditions, the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken except for the above case.

Note that if $a_{2}=0$, the supercharge $Q_{2 n}^{A}$ becomes physical. Then, the supersymmetry is enhanced to the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry.

In this model, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ can be regarded as the 'fermion' number operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{F}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1} . \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Witten indices of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}, \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ for $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{P_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}= \pm 2^{n-1},  \tag{4.57}\\
& \Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}, \quad \text { only for } \quad W^{\prime}(x)=0, \tag{4.58}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double sign in equation (4.57) corresponds to the double sign in the $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$. For $U_{\text {II }}(a)$, the Witten index of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ vanishes because the zero energy states do not exist. Let us comment on the special case (4.55), where we have the zero energy states. We note that the supersymmetry is enhanced to the same $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry in the special case (4.55) as that in the previous section.
4.2.3. (c) $Q_{1}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{B}$. The $N=2 n$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n}^{B}$ form the $N=2 n$ superalgebra if $V^{\prime}(x)$ obeys (3.10). In this case, the constraints on the characteristic matrix $U$ are the same as in the case $(a)$. The connection conditions are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{4.59}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{B} \varphi}=0 \tag{4.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U$ is given by (4.16) or (4.17).
For $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$, the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ are physical under the connection conditions (4.59), (4.60) and commute with $H^{B}$. We can introduce simultaneous eigenfunctions of $H^{B}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}}(x),  \tag{4.61}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}}(x), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{4.62}\\
& \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}}(x)=\lambda_{n+1} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}}(x) \tag{4.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Although the labels in $\varphi$ increase, the degeneracy of the spectrum for $E>0$ states does not increase in this model. The $Q_{i}^{B}(i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n), \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{P}_{n+1} Q_{i}^{B}=-Q_{i}^{B} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}  \tag{4.64}\\
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{i}^{B}= \begin{cases}-Q_{i}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n, \\
+Q_{i}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{4.65}
\end{gather*}
$$

The supercharge $Q_{i}^{B}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ changes not only the sign of $\lambda_{i}$ but also the sign of $\lambda_{n+1}$, so that no supercharge connects $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n+1}=+1$ states with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n+1}=-1$ ones. Therefore, the degeneracy between the states with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n+1}=+1$ and the states with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n+1}=-1$ is not guaranteed, so that the $2^{n+1}$-fold degeneracy is not ensured.

Since $Q_{2 k-1}^{B}=-\mathrm{i} Q_{2 k}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 k-1}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} Q_{2 k}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}}(x) \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n, 2^{n}$-fold degeneracy for $E>0$ states is ensured. This result can also be obtained from an algebraic point of view; for fixed non-zero energy $E, Q_{i}^{B} / \sqrt{E}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n$ form the Clifford algebra in $2 n$-dimensions, and the representation is known as $2^{n}$. $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ is regarded as a 'fermion' number operator $(-1)^{F}$ in the type I connection conditions. For $U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)$, since $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$, in general, is not physical, the degeneracy for $E>0$ is $2^{n}$.

The zero energy states satisfying $Q_{i}^{B} \varphi_{0}(x)=0$ are formally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero energy states trivially satisfy half of the connection conditions (4.60). Under the singular unitary transformation (3.85), the remaining connection conditions (4.59) can be written by equations (4.21)-(4.24), and the zero energy states become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \equiv \mathcal{V} \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first discuss the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}(+)\left(U_{\mathrm{I}}(-)\right)$. We note that the connection conditions (4.59) do not yield non-trivial conditions for the states with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=+1\left(\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=-1\right)$,
while the other connection conditions (4.60) are automatically satisfied due to $\mathcal{D}^{B} \varphi_{0}(x)=0$. On the other hand, we must check whether the states with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=-1\left(\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}=+1\right)$ satisfy the connection conditions (4.21), (4.22) or not. It is obviously impossible because of the exponential factor in the solution. Hence the degeneracy for the zero energy states for the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ is given by $2^{n-1}$, and the supersymmetry is unbroken. We have seen that once we determine the connection conditions $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$, the state with $\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{n}= \pm 1$ can satisfy the connection conditions.

For the type II connection conditions, the zero energy states (4.68) are found to be inconsistent with the connection conditions (4.23), (4.24), so that there are no vacuum states with zero energy. There is, however, an exception. If the following relation is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=1 \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

all the states (4.68) accidentally become supersymmetric vacuum states compatible with the connection conditions (4.24), then, the degeneracy is $2^{n}$. Hence, for the type II connection conditions, supersymmetry is spontaneously broken except for the above case. Note that if $a_{2}=0$, the supercharge $Q_{2 n+1}^{B}$ becomes physical; therefore the supersymmetry is enhanced to the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry, which is same as the type B model in the previous section.

The fermion number operators can be defined as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(-1)^{F}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}} & \text { for } & U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm) \text { or } U_{\mathrm{II}}(a), \\
(-1)^{F}=\mathcal{P}_{n+1} & \text { for } & U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm) \text { or } U_{\mathrm{II}}\left(a_{1}= \pm 1\right) . \tag{4.71}
\end{array}
$$

For $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$, the Witten indices of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}= \pm 2^{n-1}  \tag{4.72}\\
& \Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}, \tag{4.73}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double sign in equation (4.72) corresponds to the double sign in the $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$. Let us comment on the special case of $U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)$ with $a_{1}=1$, where we have the zero energy states. We note that the supersymmetry is enhanced to the same $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry even in the special case of $a_{1}=1$ as that in the previous section.
4.2.4. (d) $Q_{1}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{B}, Q_{2 n+1}^{B}$. The $N=2 n$ supercharges $Q_{1}^{B}, \ldots, Q_{2 n-1}^{B}, Q_{2 n+1}^{B}$ form the $N=2 n$ superalgebra if the $V^{\prime}(x)$ are assumed to obey (3.10). The characteristic matrix $U$ is again given by (4.36), (4.37). The connection conditions become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}-U\right) \Phi_{\varphi}=0  \tag{4.74}\\
& \left(I_{2^{n+1}}+U\right) \Phi_{\mathcal{D}^{B} \varphi}=0 . \tag{4.75}
\end{align*}
$$

In this model, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ are physical and commute with $H^{B}$. Since these operators commute with each other, we can introduce simultaneous eigenfunctions of $H^{B}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=E \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x),  \tag{4.76}\\
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=\lambda_{k} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{4.77}\\
& \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right) \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) . \tag{4.78}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} Q_{i}^{B}= \begin{cases}-Q_{i}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { for } k=2 i-1,2 i, \\
+Q_{i}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}  \tag{4.79}\\
& \left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right) Q_{i}^{B}=-Q_{i}^{B}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right) \tag{4.80}
\end{align*}
$$

and $Q_{2 k-1}^{B}=-\mathrm{i} Q_{2 k}^{B} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, we have the relations
$Q_{2 k-1}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{k} Q_{2 k}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{k}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Q_{2 n-1}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)$,
$Q_{2 n+1}^{B} \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \propto \varphi_{E ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots,-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)$.
Then, the degeneracy of the spectrum for $E>0$ is given by $2^{n}$. This result can also be obtained from an algebraic point of view; for fixed non-zero energy $E, Q_{i}^{B} / \sqrt{E}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n-1,2 n+1$ form the Clifford algebra in $2 n$-dimensions, and the representation is known as $2^{n}$.

The zero energy states are given by solving
$\mathcal{D}^{B} \varphi_{0}(x)=\left[\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\right)-\mathcal{P}_{n+1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{n+1} V^{\prime}(x)\right)\right] \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=0$.
The solutions are formally written by
$\varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x)=N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}}}{2}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{1+\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}{2}\right)+\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{V(x)}$.
The zero energy states trivially satisfy the half of the connection conditions (4.75). Under the singular unitary transformation (3.85), the remaining connection conditions (4.74) become equations (4.51)-(4.54), and accordingly, the zero energy states (4.85) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{0 ; \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) & \equiv \mathcal{V} \varphi_{0 ; \lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}(x) \\
& =N_{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1+\lambda_{k} \mathcal{R}_{k}}{2}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{1+\mathcal{R}_{n}}{2}\right)+\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-\mathcal{R}_{n}}{2}\right)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} . \tag{4.86}
\end{align*}
$$

We first consider the case of $U_{\mathrm{I}}(+)\left(U_{\mathrm{I}}(-)\right)$. The zero energy states with $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=+1$ ( $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=-1$ ) can satisfy the connection conditions (4.74), (4.75) because the connection conditions (4.74) do not yield non-trivial conditions for the states with $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=+1\left(\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=-1\right)$, and the connection conditions (4.75) are automatically satisfied due to $\mathcal{D}^{B} \varphi_{0}(x)=0$. On the other hand, the states with $\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=-1\left(\lambda_{n}^{\prime}=+1\right)$ do not satisfy the connection conditions (4.51), (4.52). Hence, the degeneracy of the zero energy states for the case is given by $2^{n-1}$, and the supersymmetry is unbroken.

For the case of $U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)$, the zero energy states (4.86) do not satisfy the connection conditions (4.53), (4.54) with one exception. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=1, \tag{4.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

all the states (4.86) accidentally become supersymmetric vacuum states compatible with the connection conditions (4.54), then, the degeneracy is $2^{n}$. Thus, for the type II connection conditions, the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken except for the above case.

Table 1. The degeneracy of the spectrum in the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetric models.

$*$ denotes $\sqrt{\frac{1-b_{2}}{1+b_{2}}}=\mathrm{e}^{2 W\left(l_{0}-\epsilon\right)}$.

The fermion number operator can be introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{F}=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1} . \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$, the Witten indices of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{P_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}= \pm 2^{n-1},  \tag{4.89}\\
& \Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}, \tag{4.90}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double sign in equation (4.89) corresponds to the double sign in $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$. For $U_{\mathrm{II}}(a)$, the Witten index of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ vanishes because the zero energy states do not exist. Let us comment on the special case (4.87), where we have zero energy states. We note that the supersymmetry is enhanced to the same $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry in the special case as that in the previous section.

## 5. Summary

In this paper, we have studied in full detail the supersymmetry on a circle with $2^{n}$ point singularities placed at regular intervals. The two types of $N=2 n+1$ supercharges (type A and type B) are constructed in terms of the $n+1$ sets of discrete transformations $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{k}, \mathcal{Q}_{k}, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right\}$ $(k=1,2, \ldots, n+1)$. The singularities can be described by the connection conditions in our formulation. We have found the connection conditions make all the supercharges physical, so that the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry can be realized under the connection conditions.

In our analysis, the connection conditions, under which an arbitrary subset of the $N=2 n+1$ supercharges is physical and others are not physical, can be obtained. Thus, the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetry can be reduced to $M$-extended supersymmetry for any integer $M<N$ due to the connection conditions.

We have also studied the degeneracy of the spectrum in particular zero energy states in the $N=2 n+1$ supersymmetric models and some $N=2 n$ supersymmetric models as examples of the reduction of the supersymmetry. The energy eigenfunctions can be labelled by the eigenvalues of the physical operators which commute with the Hamiltonian and each other. The degeneracy of the spectrum for the states with $E>0$ has been obtained by discussing the transition of the labelled states under the action of the supercharges. The analysis cannot apply for the states with $E=0$. This is because the zero energy states satisfy $Q \varphi_{0}=0$,

Table 2. The degeneracy of the spectrum in the $N=2 n$ supersymmetric models.

| Type | $U_{\text {I }}$ or $U_{\text {II }}$ |  | Degeneracy | Witten index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}= \pm 2^{n-1}$ |
|  |  | $E=0$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}$ for $W^{\prime}(x)=0$ |
|  | $U_{\text {II }}(a)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ |  |
|  |  | $E=0$ | $\begin{cases}2^{n} & \text { for } * \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$ | 0 |
| $b$ | $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}= \pm 2^{n-1}$ |
|  |  | $E=0$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}$ for $W^{\prime}(x)=0$ |
|  | $U_{\text {II }}($ a $)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ |  |
|  |  | $E=0$ | 0 | 0 |
| c | $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{R}_{n}}}= \pm 2^{n-1}$ |
|  |  | $E=0$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}$ |
|  | $U_{\text {II }}($ a $)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ |  |
|  |  | $E=0$ | 0 | 0 |
| $d$ | $U_{\mathrm{I}}( \pm)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}= \pm 2^{n-1}$ |
|  |  | $E=0$ | $2^{n-1}$ | $\Delta_{W ; \mathcal{P}_{n+1}}=2^{n-1}$ |
|  | $U_{\text {II }}(a)$ | $E>0$ | $2^{n}$ |  |
|  |  | $E=0$ | 0 | 0 |

that is, the transition does not occur. The zero energy states, however, satisfy the first-order differential equation thanks to the supersymmetry, and the formal solutions can be obtained. We have checked whether the formal solutions satisfy the connection conditions or not. The degeneracy of the spectrum and the Witten index are summarized in tables 1 and 2.
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